

Bill 240

An Act to amend the Provincial Animal Welfare Services Act, 2019 to prohibit non-essential cat declawing

Ms M. Stiles

Private Member's Bill

1st Reading December 8, 2020

2nd Reading

3rd Reading

Royal Assent





Bill 240 2020

An Act to amend the Provincial Animal Welfare Services Act, 2019 to prohibit non-essential cat declawing

Her Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario, enacts as follows:

1 The Provincial Animal Welfare Services Act, 2019 is amended by adding the following section:

Partial digital amputation of cats

17.1 (1) No person shall permit, cause, encourage, promote, arrange, conduct, assist in, receive a financial or material benefit for or take part in the partial digital amputation of a cat unless a veterinarian providing veterinary care in accordance with the standards of practice established under the *Veterinarians Act*, or a person acting under the supervision of such a veterinarian, has determined that the partial digital amputation would not constitute a medically unnecessary veterinary surgery.

Definitions

- (2) In this section,
- "medically unnecessary veterinary surgery" means a veterinary surgery that is not required or is not in the animal's overall best interest; ("acte chirurgical vétérinaire inutile sur le plan médical")
- "partial digital amputation" means the surgical removal of the third phalanx of each digit on a cat's front paws or front and rear paws. ("amputation partielle des doigts")
- 2 Subsection 49 (2) of the Act is amended by adding the following clause:
- (d.1) section 17.1 (Partial digital amputation of cats);

Commencement

3 This Act comes into force on the day it receives Royal Assent.

Short title

4 The short title of this Act is Teddy's Law (Anti-Declawing), 2020.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

The Bill amends the *Provincial Animal Welfare Services Act, 2019* to add section 17.1. Section 17.1 prohibits the partial digital amputation of a cat unless a veterinarian, or a person working under the supervision of a veterinarian, has determined that the procedure would not constitute a medically unnecessary veterinary surgery.